

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/534,679	Applicant(s) PERA ET AL.	
	Examiner BRYAN WRIGHT	Art Unit 2431	

All Participants:

(1) BRYAN WRIGHT.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: Non-Final

(3) Mathew Wenli reg. no. 63,173.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 March 2011
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims discussed:

independent claims 1 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

Finley et al. (US Patent No. 6,442,448), Carapelli (US Patent No. 6,119,110).
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed claim amendments to overcome prior art and place the application in condition for allowance.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BRYAN WRIGHT/
Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)